

STICHTING  
MATHEMATISCH CENTRUM  
2e BOERHAAVESTRAAT 49  
AMSTERDAM

ZW 1957 - ~~025~~ 030 A

Completeness of Holomorphs

W. Peremans



1957

MATHEMATICS

COMPLETENESS OF HOLOMORPHS

BY

W. PEREMANS

(Communicated by Prof. J. F. KOKSMA at the meeting of May 25, 1957)

1. *Introduction.* A complete group is a group without centre and without outer automorphisms. It is well-known that a group  $G$  is complete if and only if  $G$  is a direct factor of every group containing  $G$  as a normal subgroup (cf. [6], p. 80 and [2]). The question arises whether it is sufficient for a group to be complete, that it is a direct factor in its holomorph. RÉDEI [9] has given the following necessary condition for a group to be a direct factor in its holomorph: it is complete or a direct product of a complete group and a group of order 2. In section 2 I establish the following necessary and sufficient condition: it is complete or a direct product of a group of order 2 and a complete group without subgroups of index 2. Obviously a group of order 2 is a trivial example of a non-complete group which is a direct factor of its holomorph (trivial, because the group coincides with its holomorph). For non-trivial examples we need non-trivial complete groups without subgroups of index 2. We quote some examples of complete groups from the literature.

The unrestricted symmetric group  $S_n$  of  $n$  objects, where  $n$  is an arbitrary finite or infinite cardinal number is complete, except if  $n=2$  or  $n=6$  ([5], p. 92 and [11]). If  $n$  is infinite,  $S_n$  does not contain subgroups of index 2 ([10] and [1]; in these papers general statements about normal subgroups of  $S_n$  are proved. A direct proof of the non-existence of subgroups of index 2 is easier).

The group of automorphisms of a non-abelian elementary group is complete (a group is called elementary, if it has no proper characteristic subgroups); cf. [3], p. 96 corollary, where the theorem is proved for finite groups. The generalization to infinite groups offers no difficulties.

The holomorph of a finite abelian group of odd order is complete (cf. [7]; in [4] some special cases of this theorem are rediscovered). In section 3 we direct our attention to a generalization of this theorem. The condition of being abelian of odd order is replaced by the condition that the mapping  $x \rightarrow x^2$  is an automorphism. This condition means that the group is abelian without elements of order 2, and every element is a square. Obviously a finite group satisfies this condition if and only if it is abelian of odd order. Unfortunately the statement, that the holomorph of a group, in which  $x \rightarrow x^2$  is an automorphism, is complete, is false. A counterexample is

the direct product of a quasicyclic group of type  $p^\infty$  and a group of order  $p$ , where  $p$  is an odd prime (for details see section 3). In theorem 3.1 we give necessary and sufficient conditions in order that the holomorph of a group, for which  $x \rightarrow x^2$  is an automorphism, be complete. These conditions, however, are complicated, but it seems that the conditions such a group has to satisfy in order that its holomorph is not complete, are rather strong. In theorem 3.2 some classes of groups are given, for which the holomorph is complete.

I have not investigated the question, whether an abelian group, in which  $x \rightarrow x^2$  is not an automorphism, may have a complete holomorph. It is well-known, that a non-abelian group never has a complete holomorph (cf. [8]; see also section 2, iv).

2. The elements of a group are denoted by Latin characters; the identity element is denoted by  $e$ . Automorphisms are denoted by Greek characters and are written as left multipliers; accordingly  $\alpha\beta$  denotes the automorphism, arising by first applying  $\beta$  and then  $\alpha$ . The identity automorphism is denoted by 1. The inner automorphism  $x \rightarrow axa^{-1}$  is denoted by  $\tau(a)$ . The group of automorphisms of a group  $G$  is denoted by  $A(G)$ .

The holomorph  $K(G)$  of a group  $G$  may be defined as follows. The elements of  $K(G)$  are the pairs  $(a, \alpha)$  with  $a \in G$ ,  $\alpha \in A(G)$ ; multiplication of elements of  $K(G)$  is given by the rule

$$(a, \alpha)(b, \beta) = (a(\alpha b), \alpha\beta).$$

The unit element of  $K(G)$  is  $(e, 1)$ , the inverse of  $(a, \alpha)$  is  $(\alpha^{-1}a^{-1}, \alpha^{-1})$ .

The following facts about  $K(G)$  are well-known.

- i.  $K(G)$  contains the normal subgroup  $G' = \{(x, 1) | x \in G\}$ , isomorphic to  $G$  and  $K(G)/G' \cong A(G)$ .
- ii.  $K(G)$  contains the subgroup  $A(G)'' = \{(e, \xi) | \xi \in A(G)\}$ , isomorphic to  $A(G)$  and  $K(G) = G'A(G)''$ .
- iii. Every automorphism of  $G'$  is induced by an inner automorphism of  $K(G)$ .
- iv. The mapping  $(x, \xi) \rightarrow (x^{-1}, \tau(x)\xi)$  is an automorphism of  $K(G)$ , which is outer if  $G$  is not abelian, because it maps the normal subgroup  $G'$  onto a group different from  $G'$ .

If  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$  we denote by  $H'$  the group  $\{(x, 1) | x \in H\}$ ; if  $B$  is a subgroup of  $A(G)$  we denote by  $B''$  the group  $\{(e, \xi) | \xi \in B\}$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** If  $G$  is a group,  $G'$  is a direct factor of  $K(G)$  if and only if either  $G$  is complete or  $G$  is direct product of a group of order 2 and a complete group without subgroups of index 2.

**Proof.**  $G'$  is a direct factor of  $K(G)$  if and only if every coset of  $G'$  contains an element, which is permutable with every element of  $G'$ , such that these elements constitute a group. If this element of the coset

$\{(x, \alpha) | x \in G\}$  is denoted by  $(f(\alpha)^{-1}, \alpha)$ , a short calculation gives the following two conditions:

$$(2.1) \quad \alpha x = f(\alpha) x f(\alpha)^{-1},$$

$$(2.2) \quad f(\alpha\beta) = f(\alpha) f(\beta).$$

From (2.1) it follows that all automorphisms of  $G$  are inner; from (2.2) and (2.1) it follows that  $f$  is an isomorphic mapping of  $A(G)$  into  $G$ . If all automorphisms of  $G$  are inner, (2.1) and (2.2) are equivalent with the possibility of choosing one element from every coset of the centre  $C$  of  $G$ , such that these elements constitute a group. This is possible if and only if  $C$  is a direct factor of  $G$ . So we have proved the following statement.

$G'$  is a direct factor of  $K(G)$  if and only if all automorphisms of  $G$  are inner and the centre of  $G$  is a direct factor of  $G$ .

Let  $G = C \times D$ ;  $C$  the centre of  $G$ . Then  $D$  has no centre. If all automorphisms of  $G$  are inner, the same holds for  $C$  and  $D$ , so  $D$  is complete. As  $C$  is abelian, it has order 1 or 2. If  $C$  has order 1, then  $G$  (and therefore  $G'$ ) is complete, and by a theorem, mentioned in the introduction,  $G'$  is direct factor in every group containing  $G'$  as a normal subgroup, thus  $G'$  is a direct factor of  $K(G)$ .

Now let  $G = C \times D$ ;  $C$  of order 2 and  $D$  complete. An automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $G$  induces on  $C$  the identical automorphism, because  $C$  is the centre of  $G$  and has order 2. It maps  $D$  onto a subgroup  $D^*$  of  $G$  of index 2. If  $\alpha$  is outer,  $D^* \neq D$ , because  $D$  is complete and  $\alpha$  is identical on  $C$ . Then  $D^* \cap D$  is a subgroup of  $D$  of index 2 in  $D$ . Conversely if  $H$  is a subgroup of  $D$  of index 2 in  $D$ , we determine a mapping of  $G$  into  $G$  in the following way ( $c$  denotes the generating element of  $C$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} h &\rightarrow h \quad \text{for } h \in H, \\ d &\rightarrow cd \quad \text{for } d \in D, d \notin H, \\ ch &\rightarrow ch \quad \text{for } h \in H, \\ cd &\rightarrow d \quad \text{for } d \in D, d \notin H. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously this mapping is an outer automorphism of  $G$ . So theorem 2.1 is proved.

3. In this section we consider abelian groups  $G$ ; we adopt an additive notation for the group operation of  $G$ . If  $x \rightarrow 2x$  is an automorphism of  $G$ , we denote it by 2. If  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are abelian groups, the collection of all homomorphisms of  $G_1$  into  $G_2$  may be made in the obvious way into an additive group, which we denote by  $\text{Hom}(G_1, G_2)$ .

Theorem 3.1. The holomorph  $K(G)$  of an abelian group  $G$ , in which  $x \rightarrow 2x$  is an automorphism, is not complete, if and only if  $G$  is a direct sum of groups  $B$  and  $C$ , satisfying the following requirements:

- i.  $B \neq 0$ .
- ii.  $\text{Hom}(C, B) = 0$ .

- iii. There exists an isomorphic mapping  $x \rightarrow \delta(x)$  of  $B$  onto  $\text{Hom}(B, C)$ .
- iv. There exists a function  $f(x) (x \in B, f(x) \in B)$ , mapping  $B$  onto  $B$  and satisfying  $\delta(y)f(x) = \delta(x)y$  for all  $x, y \in B$ .

**Remark.** It is possible to show that, by iii, the function  $f(x)$  in iv is determined uniquely by  $\delta(x)$  and that the fulfilment of iv does not depend on the choice of  $\delta(x)$  in iii in this sense, that if  $\delta(x)$  is replaced by another isomorphic mapping  $x \rightarrow \delta_1(x)$  of  $B$  onto  $\text{Hom}(B, C)$ , then  $f(x)$  can be replaced by  $f_1(x)$ , satisfying iv (with indices 1 at the appropriate places). As we do not need these facts in the sequel, we omit the proof.

**Proof.** We first prove that for any abelian group  $G$ , in which  $x \rightarrow 2x$  is an automorphism,  $K(G)$  has no centre. An element  $(a, \alpha)$  of the centre of  $K(G)$  has to satisfy

$$(a, \alpha)(x, \xi) = (x, \xi)(a, \alpha),$$

for all  $x \in G, \xi \in A(G)$ . So we must have

$$a + \alpha x = x + \xi a.$$

Taking  $\xi = 1$ , we get  $\alpha x = x$ , so  $\alpha = 1$ . Now  $a = \xi a$ . Taking  $\xi = 2$ , we get  $a = 0$ , which completes the proof.

Let  $\chi$  be an automorphism of  $K(G)$ .  $G'$  is mapped by  $\chi$  onto an abelian normal subgroup  $S$  of  $K(G)$ . If  $(a, \alpha) \in S$ ,  $(0, 2)(a, \alpha)(0, 2)^{-1}(a, \alpha)^{-1} = (a, 1) \in S$  and  $(a, 1)^{-1}(a, \alpha) = (0, \alpha) \in S$ . So there exist subgroups  $C$  of  $G$  and  $B_1$  of  $A(G)$ , such that  $S = \{(x, \xi) | x \in C, \xi \in B_1\}$ . Obviously  $S$  is the direct product of  $C'$  and  $B_1'$ . For  $c \in C$  and  $\alpha \in B_1$  we have  $(c, \alpha) = (c, 1)(0, \alpha) = (0, \alpha)(c, 1) = (\alpha c, \alpha)$ , so  $\alpha c = c$ . Moreover if  $b \in G$  and  $\alpha \in B_1$ , we have  $(b, 1)(0, \alpha)(b, 1)^{-1} = (b - \alpha b, \alpha) \in S$ , so  $b - \alpha b \in C$ . Finally  $C' = S \cap G'$  and  $C$  is a characteristic subgroup of  $G$ , because  $C'$  is a normal subgroup of  $K(G)$ , contained in  $G'$ . We may interpret  $K(G)$  also as the holomorph of  $S$  and repeat our preceding argument interchanging the rôles of  $S$  and  $G'$ . So we find that  $G$  is the direct sum of  $C$  and a subgroup  $B$  isomorphic to  $B_1$ .

As we are only interested in the question, whether  $\chi$  is outer or inner, we may replace  $\chi$  by another automorphism  $\chi_1$  of  $K(G)$  belonging to the same automorphism class. As every automorphism of  $G'$  is induced by an inner automorphism of  $K(G)$ , we may choose  $\chi_1$  in such a way, that it induces on  $G'$  any prescribed isomorphic mapping of  $G'$  onto  $S$ . We choose this mapping in such a way that it induces the identical mapping on  $C'$  and maps  $B'$  onto  $B_1'$ . We need the following two lemma's.

**Lemma 3.1.** If the abelian group  $G$  is the direct sum of its subgroups  $B$  and  $C$ ,  $C$  is a characteristic subgroup of  $G$  if and only if  $\text{Hom}(C, B) = 0$ .

**Proof.** If  $\zeta \in \text{Hom}(C, B)$ ,  $\zeta \neq 0$ , the mapping  $b \rightarrow b$  for  $b \in B$  and  $c \rightarrow \zeta c + c$  for  $c \in C$  determines an automorphism of  $G$ ; there exists a  $c_1 \in C$  with  $\zeta c_1 \neq 0$ , so  $C$  is not a characteristic subgroup of  $G$ . We now

assume  $\text{Hom}(C, B) = 0$  and denote the projection of  $G$  onto  $B$ , corresponding to the given direct decomposition, by  $\theta$ . If  $\alpha$  is an automorphism of  $G$ , the restriction to  $C$  of  $\theta\alpha$  is an element of  $\text{Hom}(C, B)$  and therefore zero. This means that  $\alpha$  maps  $C$  into itself, so  $C$  is a characteristic subgroup of  $G$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** If the abelian group  $G$  is the direct sum of its subgroups  $B$  and  $C$ , where  $C$  is a characteristic subgroup of  $G$ , there exists a one-to-one mapping of  $A(G)$  onto the set of all triples  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ , where  $\alpha \in A(B)$ ,  $\beta \in A(C)$ ,  $\gamma \in \text{Hom}(B, C)$ ; the mapping  $\lambda \rightarrow (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  is determined by

$$(3.1) \quad \lambda(b + c) = \alpha b + \beta c + \gamma b$$

for  $b \in B$ ,  $c \in C$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  denote the projections of  $G$  onto  $B$  and  $C$  respectively, corresponding to the given direct decomposition. Let  $\lambda \in A(G)$ . Because  $C$  is a characteristic subgroup of  $G$ , the restriction  $\beta$  of  $\lambda$  to  $C$  is an element of  $A(C)$ . Let  $\gamma$  denote the restriction of  $\theta_2\lambda$  to  $B$ ; then  $\gamma \in \text{Hom}(B, C)$ . Let  $\alpha$  denote the restriction of  $\theta_1\lambda$  to  $B$ . Then (3.1) holds. It remains to prove that  $\alpha \in A(B)$ . Let  $\alpha b = 0$ , then  $\theta_1\lambda b = 0$ ,  $\lambda b \in C$ ,  $b \in C$ ,  $b = 0$ . If  $b \in B$ , there exists a  $g \in G$ , satisfying  $\lambda g = b$ . Now

$$b = \theta_1\lambda g = \theta_1\lambda(\theta_1g + \theta_2g) = \theta_1\lambda\theta_1g = \alpha(\theta_1g).$$

So  $\alpha \in A(B)$ .

Conversely, let  $\alpha \in A(B)$ ,  $\beta \in A(C)$ ,  $\gamma \in \text{Hom}(B, C)$ . Determine  $\lambda$  by (3.1); obviously  $\lambda$  is a homomorphism. If  $\lambda(b + c) = 0$ , then  $\alpha b = 0$  and  $\beta c + \gamma b = 0$ , so  $b = c = 0$ . Finally

$$\lambda(\alpha^{-1}b + \beta^{-1}c - \beta^{-1}\gamma\alpha^{-1}b) = b + c.$$

This completes the proof.

We remark that if  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow (\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1)$  and  $\lambda_2 \rightarrow (\alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2)$ , then  $\lambda_1\lambda_2 \rightarrow (\alpha_1\alpha_2, \beta_1\beta_2, \gamma_1\alpha_2 + \beta_1\gamma_2)$ .

Let  $\lambda \in B_1$  and  $\lambda \rightarrow (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  according to lemma 3.2. If  $c \in C$ , then  $c = \lambda c = \beta c$ , so  $\beta = 1$ . If  $b \in B$ , then  $b - \lambda b = b - \alpha b - \gamma b \in C$ , so  $b - \alpha b = 0$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ . Moreover  $(1, 1, \gamma_1)(1, 1, \gamma_2) = (1, 1, \gamma_1 + \gamma_2)$ . So an isomorphic mapping of  $B$  onto  $B_1$  induces an isomorphic mapping of  $B$  into  $\text{Hom}(B, C)$ ; we denote the mapping corresponding to  $\chi_1$  by  $b \rightarrow \delta(b)$ ; the mapping of  $B$  onto  $B_1$  then reads  $b \rightarrow (1, 1, \delta(b))$ .

According to lemma 3.2 we now write the elements of  $K(G)$  in the form

$$(b + c, \alpha, \beta, \gamma),$$

with  $b \in B$ ,  $c \in C$ ,  $\alpha \in A(B)$ ,  $\beta \in A(C)$ ,  $\gamma \in \text{Hom}(B, C)$ .

We return to the automorphism  $\chi_1$ . We have

$$(3.2) \quad \chi_1(b + c, 1, 1, 0) = (c, 1, 1, \delta(b)).$$

We put

$$(3.3) \quad \chi_1(0, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (B(\lambda) + C(\lambda), \Xi(\lambda), \mathbf{H}(\lambda), \mathbf{Z}(\lambda)),$$

where  $B(\lambda) \in B$ ,  $C(\lambda) \in C$ ,  $\Xi(\lambda) \in A(B)$ ,  $\mathbf{H}(\lambda) \in A(C)$ ,  $\mathbf{Z}(\lambda) \in \text{Hom}(B, C)$ ,  $\lambda = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ .

Because  $\chi_1$  is a homomorphism, we have

$$(B(\lambda_1\lambda_2) + C(\lambda_1\lambda_2), \Xi(\lambda_1\lambda_2), \mathbf{H}(\lambda_1\lambda_2), \mathbf{Z}(\lambda_1\lambda_2)) = (B(\lambda_1) + C(\lambda_1) + \Xi(\lambda_1)B(\lambda_2) + \mathbf{H}(\lambda_1)C(\lambda_2) + \mathbf{Z}(\lambda_1)B(\lambda_2), \Xi(\lambda_1)\Xi(\lambda_2), \mathbf{H}(\lambda_1)\mathbf{H}(\lambda_2), \mathbf{Z}(\lambda_1)\Xi(\lambda_2) + \mathbf{H}(\lambda_1)\mathbf{Z}(\lambda_2)).$$

So we get

$$(3.4) \quad B(\lambda_1\lambda_2) = B(\lambda_1) + \Xi(\lambda_1)B(\lambda_2).$$

$$(3.5) \quad C(\lambda_1\lambda_2) = C(\lambda_1) + \mathbf{H}(\lambda_1)C(\lambda_2) + \mathbf{Z}(\lambda_1)B(\lambda_2).$$

$$(3.6) \quad \mathbf{Z}(\lambda_1\lambda_2) = \mathbf{Z}(\lambda_1)\Xi(\lambda_2) + \mathbf{H}(\lambda_1)\mathbf{Z}(\lambda_2).$$

Moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_1(0, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)\chi_1(b+c, 1, 1, 0) &= \chi_1(\alpha b + \beta c + \gamma b, 1, 1, 0)\chi_1(0, \alpha, \beta, \gamma), \\ (B(\lambda) + C(\lambda), \Xi(\lambda), \mathbf{H}(\lambda), \mathbf{Z}(\lambda))(c, 1, 1, \delta(b)) &= (\beta c + \gamma b, 1, 1, \delta(\alpha b))(B(\lambda) + C(\lambda), \\ \Xi(\lambda), \mathbf{H}(\lambda), \mathbf{Z}(\lambda)), (B(\lambda) + C(\lambda) + \mathbf{H}(\lambda)c, \Xi(\lambda), \mathbf{H}(\lambda), \mathbf{Z}(\lambda) + \mathbf{H}(\lambda)\delta(b)) &= \\ = (\beta c + \gamma b + B(\lambda) + C(\lambda) + \delta(\alpha b)B(\lambda), \Xi(\lambda), \mathbf{H}(\lambda), \delta(\alpha b)\Xi(\lambda) + \mathbf{Z}(\lambda)), \end{aligned}$$

So we get

$$(3.7) \quad \mathbf{H}(\lambda)c = \beta c + \gamma b + \delta(\alpha b)B(\lambda).$$

$$(3.8) \quad \delta(\alpha b)\Xi(\lambda) = \mathbf{H}(\lambda)\delta(b).$$

Putting  $b=0$  into (3.7) we get  $\mathbf{H}(\lambda)c = \beta c$ , and so

$$(3.9) \quad \mathbf{H}(\lambda) = \beta.$$

From (3.7) and (3.9) we infer

$$(3.10) \quad \delta(\alpha b)B(\lambda) + \gamma b = 0.$$

We now use the fact that 2 is an automorphism of  $K(G)$ ; obviously 2 is permutable with all other automorphisms of  $K(G)$ . Moreover 2 corresponds to the triple  $(2, 2, 0)$ .

So we infer from (3.4)

$$(3.11) \quad B(\lambda) + \Xi(\lambda)B(2) = B(2) + \Xi(2)B(\lambda),$$

from (3.5), using (3.9),

$$(3.12) \quad C(\lambda) + \beta C(2) + \mathbf{Z}(\lambda)B(2) = C(2) + 2C(\lambda) + \mathbf{Z}(2)B(\lambda),$$

and from (3.6), using (3.9),

$$(3.13) \quad \mathbf{Z}(\lambda)\Xi(2) + \beta\mathbf{Z}(2) = \mathbf{Z}(2)\Xi(\lambda) + 2\mathbf{Z}(\lambda).$$

From (3.12) we infer

$$(3.14) \quad C(\lambda) = \beta C(2) + \mathbf{Z}(\lambda)B(2) - C(2) - \mathbf{Z}(2)B(\lambda).$$

We are going to use now the fact that  $\chi_1$  is a mapping of  $K(G)$  onto  $K(G)$ . Using (3.2), (3.3) and (3.9) we get

$$(3.15) \quad \chi_1(b+c, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (B(\lambda) + c + C(\lambda) + \delta(b)B(\lambda), \Xi(\lambda), \beta, \delta(b)\Xi(\lambda) + \mathbf{Z}(\lambda)).$$

To every collection consisting of  $b \in B$ ,  $c \in C$  and a triple  $\lambda = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  there exist  $x \in B$ ,  $y \in C$  and a triple  $\omega = (\xi, \eta, \zeta)$  such that

$$(3.16) \quad B(\omega) = b,$$

$$(3.17) \quad \Xi(\omega) = \alpha,$$

$$(3.18) \quad \eta = \beta,$$

$$(3.19) \quad \delta(x)\alpha + \mathbf{Z}(\omega) = \gamma,$$

$$(3.20) \quad y + C(\omega) + \delta(x)b = c.$$

Substituting  $\omega$  for  $\lambda$  in (3.11) and using (3.16) and (3.17) we get

$$b + \alpha B(2) = B(2) + \Xi(2)b.$$

Taking  $\alpha = 1$ , we get  $b = \Xi(2)b$  for every  $b \in B$ , so

$$(3.21) \quad \Xi(2) = 1.$$

Taking  $\alpha = 2$  and using (3.21) we get

$$(3.22) \quad B(2) = 0.$$

Using (3.21) we infer from (3.13)

$$(3.23) \quad \mathbf{Z}(\lambda) = \beta \mathbf{Z}(2) - \mathbf{Z}(2)\Xi(\lambda).$$

Using (3.17), (3.18) and (3.23) we infer from (3.19)

$$\delta(x)\alpha + \beta \mathbf{Z}(2) - \mathbf{Z}(2)\alpha = \gamma.$$

Taking  $\alpha = \beta = 1$  we get  $\delta(x) = \gamma$  and this means, that  $x \rightarrow \delta(x)$  is a mapping of  $B$  onto  $\text{Hom}(B, C)$ .

According to this fact there exists a  $b_1 \in B$  satisfying  $\delta(b_1) = \mathbf{Z}(2)$ . Let  $\psi$  be the inner automorphism  $\tau((b_1 + C(2), 1, 1, 0))$  of  $K(G)$  and  $\chi_2 = \chi_1\psi$ . We get

$$\psi(0, 2, 2, 0) = (-b_1 - C(2), 2, 2, 0).$$

Using (3.15), (3.21) and (3.22) we get

$$(3.24) \quad \chi_2(0, 2, 2, 0) = (0, 1, 2, 0).$$

Now  $\chi_2$  belongs to the automorphism class of  $\chi$ , and it induces on  $G'$  the same mapping as  $\chi_1$ , i.e. (3.2) holds with  $\chi_1$  replaced by  $\chi_2$ . We replace  $\chi_1$  by  $\chi_2$  and take the functions  $B(\lambda)$ ,  $C(\lambda)$ ,  $\Xi(\lambda)$ ,  $\mathbf{H}(\lambda)$  and  $\mathbf{Z}(\lambda)$  corresponding to  $\chi_2$ . All formulas deduced for these functions remain valid and moreover from (3.24) we infer

$$(3.25) \quad C(2) = 0,$$

$$(3.26) \quad \mathbf{Z}(2) = 0.$$

Using (3.22), (3.25) and (3.26), (3.14) turns into

$$(3.27) \quad C(\lambda) = 0.$$



Using (3.26), (3.23) turns into

$$(3.28) \quad \mathbf{Z}(\lambda) = 0.$$

We return to the fact, that  $\chi_2$  is a mapping onto  $K(G)$ . Substituting  $\omega$  for  $\lambda$  and  $t$  for  $b$  in (3.8) and using (3.9), (3.17) and (3.18) we get

$$(3.29) \quad \delta(\xi t) = \beta \delta(t) \alpha^{-1}.$$

Substituting  $\omega$  for  $\lambda$  and  $t$  for  $b$  in (3.10) and using (3.16) we get

$$\delta(\xi t)b + \zeta t = 0,$$

and using (3.29), this turns into

$$(3.30) \quad \zeta t = -\beta \delta(t) \alpha^{-1} b.$$

Obviously (3.29) determines  $\xi$  uniquely as a function of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  and (3.30) determines  $\zeta$  uniquely as a function of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $b$ . Moreover the mapping  $\xi$  determined by (3.29) is an element of  $A(B)$ , and the mapping  $\zeta$  determined by (3.30) is an element of  $\text{Hom}(B, C)$ .

By (3.28), (3.19) turns into

$$(3.31) \quad \delta(x) = \gamma \alpha^{-1},$$

and by (3.27) and (3.31), (3.20) turns into

$$(3.32) \quad y = c - \gamma \alpha^{-1} b.$$

Using (3.18), (3.29), (3.30), (3.31) and (3.32) we find that  $\chi_2^{-1}$  is the mapping

$$(3.33) \quad (b + c, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \rightarrow (X_1(\gamma \alpha^{-1}) + c - \gamma \alpha^{-1} b, \Xi_1(\alpha, \beta), \beta, \beta \mathbf{Z}_1(\alpha^{-1} b)),$$

where the functions  $X_1(\gamma)$ ,  $\Xi_1(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $\mathbf{Z}_1(b)$  are determined by

$$(3.34) \quad \delta(X_1(\gamma)) = \gamma,$$

$$(3.35) \quad \delta(\Xi_1(\alpha, \beta)t) = \beta \delta(t) \alpha^{-1},$$

$$(3.36) \quad \mathbf{Z}_1(b)t = -\delta(t)b.$$

Resuming the facts proved thus far, we get the following statement.

If  $\chi$  is an automorphism of  $K(G)$ , which maps  $G'$  onto  $S$ ,  $G$  is the direct sum of  $B$  and  $C$ , where  $C$  is a characteristic subgroup of  $G$  and  $C' = S \cap G'$ . Moreover there exists an isomorphic mapping  $x \rightarrow \delta(x)$  of  $B$  onto  $\text{Hom}(B, C)$  such that the mapping determined by (3.33), (3.34), (3.35) and (3.36) is the inverse of an automorphism  $\chi_2$  of  $K(G)$ , belonging to the automorphism class of  $\chi$ .

Obviously  $S = G'$ , if and only if  $B = 0$ . If  $B = 0$ , (3.33) is the identical mapping. So  $K(G)$  contains an outer automorphism if and only if  $G$  is the direct sum of subgroups  $B$  and  $C$ , satisfying i, ii and iii and the mapping (3.33) is an automorphism of  $K(G)$ .

It is a matter of straightforward verification that (3.33) is always a

homomorphism. This homomorphism is an isomorphism if and only if

$$(3.37) \quad X_1(\gamma\alpha^{-1}) = 0,$$

$$(3.38) \quad c - \gamma\alpha^{-1}b = 0,$$

$$(3.39) \quad \Xi_1(\alpha, 1) = 1,$$

$$(3.40) \quad Z_1(\alpha^{-1}b) = 0$$

together imply  $b = c = \gamma = 0$  and  $\alpha = 1$ . Now, by (3.34), (3.37) implies  $\gamma = 0$ , and then (3.38) implies  $c = 0$ . By (3.35), (3.39) is equivalent to

$$(3.41) \quad \delta(t)\alpha = \delta(t) \text{ for all } t \in B,$$

and by (3.36) and (3.41), (3.40) and (3.41) together are equivalent to (3.41) and

$$(3.42) \quad \delta(t)b = 0 \text{ for all } t \in B.$$

We now consider the conditions (3.33) has to satisfy in order that it is a mapping onto  $K(G)$ . To every collection consisting of  $b \in B$ ,  $c \in C$  and a triple  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ , there have to exist  $x \in B$ ,  $y \in C$  and a triple  $(\xi, \beta, \zeta)$ , satisfying

$$(3.43) \quad X_1(\zeta \xi^{-1}) = b,$$

$$(3.44) \quad y - \zeta \xi^{-1}x = c,$$

$$(3.45) \quad \Xi_1(\xi, \beta) = \alpha,$$

$$(3.46) \quad \beta Z_1(\xi^{-1}x) = \gamma.$$

By (3.34), (3.43) may be replaced by

$$(3.47) \quad \zeta = \delta(b)\xi.$$

By (3.47), (3.44) may be replaced by

$$(3.48) \quad y = c + \delta(b)x.$$

By (3.35), (3.45) may be replaced by

$$(3.49) \quad \delta(\alpha t)\xi = \beta\delta(t) \text{ for all } t \in B.$$

By (3.36) and (3.49), (3.46) may be replaced by

$$(3.50) \quad \delta(t)x = -\gamma\alpha^{-1}t \text{ for all } t \in B.$$

If  $x$  and  $\xi$  are found,  $y$  and  $\zeta$  follow from (3.47) and (3.48).

Suppose that i, ii, iii are satisfied and the mapping (3.33) is an automorphism of  $K(G)$ . Take an element  $u$  of  $B$  and put  $\gamma = -\delta(u)$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ . The solvability of (3.50) implies the existence of a function  $f(u)$  ( $u \in B$ ,  $f(u) \in B$ ), satisfying  $\delta(t)f(u) = \delta(u)t$  for all  $u, t \in B$ . In order to prove that  $f(u)$  maps  $B$  onto  $B$  we take an element  $b$  of  $B$ . Clearly the mapping  $t \rightarrow \delta(t)b$  ( $t \in B$ ) is an element of  $\text{Hom}(B, C)$ , so, by iii, there exists a  $u \in B$ , satisfying  $\delta(t)b = \delta(u)t = \delta(t)f(u)$  and therefore  $\delta(t)(f(u) - b) = 0$ . Because (3.42) implies  $b = 0$ , we find  $f(u) = b$ , so iv is satisfied.

Suppose conversely that i, ii, iii, iv are satisfied. We first prove that if  $b \in B$  and  $\delta(x)b=0$  for all  $x \in B$ , then  $b=0$ . By iv,  $\delta(x)b=0$  implies  $\delta(b)f(x)=0$ ; because  $f(x)$  is a mapping onto  $B$ , this implies  $\delta(b)=0$  and, by iii,  $b=0$ . We now prove that the mapping  $x \rightarrow f(x)$  is an automorphism of  $B$ . Clearly

$$\delta(y)(f(x_1+x_2)-f(x_1)-f(x_2))=0,$$

so  $f(x_1+x_2)=f(x_1)+f(x_2)$ . If  $f(x)=0$ ,  $\delta(x)y=0$  for all  $y \in B$ ,  $\delta(x)=0$  and, by iii,  $x=0$ . So  $x \rightarrow f(x)$  is an automorphism; we denote it by  $\sigma$  and we have  $\delta(y)\sigma x=\delta(x)y$  for all  $x, y \in B$ . We have proved already that (3.42) implies  $b=0$ . If (3.41) is satisfied, we have  $\delta(t)(\alpha u-u)=0$  for all  $u, t \in B$ , so  $\alpha u=u$  for all  $u \in B$ , so  $\alpha=1$ . In order to solve (3.50) we take a  $u \in B$  satisfying  $\delta(u)=-\gamma\alpha^{-1}$ , which is possible by iii. Now  $x=f(u)$  solves (3.50). In order to solve (3.49) we remark that, for all  $u \in B$ ,  $\beta\delta(u)\sigma\alpha^{-1}\sigma^{-1} \in \text{Hom}(B, C)$ . So, by iii, there exists a function  $g(u)$  ( $u \in B$ ,  $g(u) \in B$ ), satisfying  $\delta(g(u))=\beta\delta(u)\sigma\alpha^{-1}\sigma^{-1}$ . It is easy to show that the mapping  $u \rightarrow g(u)$  is an automorphism of  $B$ ; we denote it by  $\xi$ . We now have for all  $u, t \in B$ :

$$\delta(\xi u)\sigma\alpha t=\beta\delta(u)\sigma t,$$

$$\delta(\alpha t)\xi u=\beta\delta(t)u,$$

so  $\xi$  indeed solves (3.49). This completes the proof of theorem 3.1.

From the proof of theorem 3.1 it follows that an automorphism of  $K(G)$  which maps  $G$  onto itself is inner. Moreover the mapping (3.33) maps  $G$  onto  $S$ , and this means that in the group of automorphism classes of  $K(G)$  the square of every element equals 1. This gives the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.1.** If  $G$  is an abelian group, in which  $x \rightarrow 2x$  is an automorphism, every automorphism of  $K(G)$  which maps  $G$  onto itself is inner and the group of automorphism classes of  $K(G)$  is a direct product of groups of order 2 or a group of order 1.

We now give an example of a group  $G$ , in which  $x \rightarrow 2x$  is an automorphism and for which  $K(G)$  is not complete. Let  $p$  be an odd prime,  $B$  a group of order  $p$ ,  $C$  a quasicyclic group of type  $p^\infty$  and  $G$  the direct sum of  $B$  and  $C$ . Obviously  $x \rightarrow 2x$  is an automorphism of  $G$  and i holds. A homomorphic image of  $C$  is zero or isomorphic to  $C$ , so ii holds. Let  $a$  be a generator of  $B$  and  $d_1, d_2, \dots$  be generators of  $C$ , satisfying  $pd_1=0$ ,  $pd_{n+1}=d_n$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ). An element of  $\text{Hom}(B, C)$  is determined by  $a \rightarrow kd_1$  ( $k=0, 1, \dots, p-1$ ); we denote it by  $k$ . We determine  $\delta(x)$  by putting  $ka \rightarrow k$ ; then iii is satisfied. If we take for  $x \rightarrow f(x)$  in iv the identical mapping, then iv is satisfied. By theorem 3.1 we find that  $K(G)$  is not complete.

In theorem 3.2 we give some sufficient conditions in order that  $K(G)$  be complete.

Theorem 3.2. If  $G$  is an abelian group, in which  $x \rightarrow 2x$  is an automorphism, the holomorph  $K(G)$  of  $G$  is complete, if at least one of the following three conditions is satisfied:

- A.  $G$  is directly indecomposable.
- B.  $G$  is a direct sum of cyclic groups.
- C.  $G$  is a divisible group.

Proof. We assume that  $K(G)$  is not complete. Then  $G$  is a direct sum of  $B$  and  $C$ , where  $B$  and  $C$  satisfy the conditions of theorem 3.1. If  $C=0$ , then  $\text{Hom}(B, C)=0$ , so, by iii,  $B=0$ , contradicting i. So  $C \neq 0$ . This proves already case A.

Let  $G$  be a direct sum of cyclic groups; the same holds for  $B$  and  $C$  ([5], p. 174). Suppose that  $C$  contains an infinite cyclic summand  $C_\infty$ . By i,  $B$  contains a cyclic direct summand  $\neq 0$ . We may map  $C_\infty$  homomorphically  $\neq 0$  onto this summand of  $B$  and the other summands of  $C$  onto 0; this gives an element  $\neq 0$  of  $\text{Hom}(C, B)$ , contradicting ii. So  $C$  is periodic. Suppose that  $B$  contains a primary direct summand of order  $p^n$ . By iii, there exists a  $\gamma \in \text{Hom}(B, C)$  of order  $p^n$ . The image of  $\gamma$  is not zero and consists of elements, whose order divides  $p^n$ . So  $C$  has a direct summand of order  $p^k$ . We may map this summand of  $C$  non-trivially into the summand of order  $p^n$  of  $B$  and the other summands of  $C$  into 0. This gives an element  $\neq 0$  of  $\text{Hom}(C, B)$ , contradicting ii. So  $B$  is torsion-free and is a direct sum of infinite cyclic groups. Because  $C \neq 0$  and  $C$  is periodic,  $C$  contains an element  $c$  of order  $p$ . We map the generators of the cyclic summands of  $G$  onto  $c$ ; this gives an element of order  $p$  of  $\text{Hom}(B, C)$ , which contradicts iii. So case B is proved.

Let  $G$  be divisible; the same holds for  $B$  and  $C$  ([5], p. 163).  $B$  and  $C$  both are direct sums of groups of types  $p^\infty$  and  $R$  (additive group of the rational numbers). Suppose  $B$  contains a direct summand of type  $p^\infty$ ; this group contains an element of order  $p$ . By iii,  $\text{Hom}(B, C)$  contains an element of order  $p$ . This implies, that  $C$  contains an element of order  $p$  and therefore a direct summand of type  $p^\infty$ . This clearly contradicts ii. So  $B$  is torsion-free and contains at least one direct summand of type  $R$ . Suppose that  $C$  contains a direct summand of type  $R$ ; we obtain again a contradiction with ii. So  $C$  is periodic. Because  $C \neq 0$ , it contains a direct summand of type  $p^\infty$ . It is not difficult to show, that  $\text{Hom}(R, p^\infty)$  is isomorphic to the additive group of the field of  $p$ -adic numbers, which has order  $\aleph$  (cardinal number of the continuum). Let  $B$  be a direct sum of  $\alpha$  times a group of type  $R$ . The order of  $\text{Hom}(B, C) \geq$  the order of  $\text{Hom}(B, p^\infty) =$  the order of the unrestricted direct sum of  $\alpha$  times  $\text{Hom}(R, p^\infty)$ . This order is  $\aleph^\alpha$ . If  $\alpha$  is finite, the order of  $B$  is  $\aleph_0 < \aleph^\alpha$  and if  $\alpha$  is infinite, the order of  $B$  is  $\alpha < \aleph^\alpha$ . This contradicts iii. So case C is proved.

We remark that case B of theorem 3.2 implies MILLER's theorem ([7]), mentioned in the introduction.

If  $R$  is the additive group of the rational numbers,  $A(R)$  is isomorphic to the multiplicative group of the rational numbers  $\neq 0$ . By theorem 3.2,  $K(R)$  is complete. So the group consisting of the pairs  $(a, b)$  with  $a, b \in R$  and  $b \neq 0$  and with the multiplication rule  $(a, b)(c, d) = (a + bc, bd)$  is a countable complete group.

*Mathematisch Centrum,  
Amsterdam.*

#### REFERENCES

1. BAER, R., Die Kompositionsreihe der Gruppe aller eineindeutigen Abbildungen einer unendlichen Menge auf sich, *Studia Math.* **5**, 15-17 (1934).
2. ———, Absolute retracts in group theory, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **52**, 501-506 (1946).
3. BURNSIDE, W., *Theory of groups of finite order*, 2nd ed., Cambridge 1911.
4. GOL'FAND, YU A., On the automorphism group of the holomorph of a group (Russian), *Mat. Sbornik* **27**, 333-350 (1950).
5. KUROSH, A. G., *The theory of groups*, Vol. I, New York 1955.
6. ———, *The theory of groups*, Vol. II, New York 1956.
7. MILLER, G. A., On the multiple holomorphs of a group, *Math. Ann.* **66**, 133-142 (1909).
8. MILLS, W. H., On the non-isomorphism of certain holomorphs, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **74**, 428-443 (1953).
9. RÉDEI, L., Die Holomorphentheorie für Gruppen und Ringe, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **5**, 169-195 (1954).
10. SCHREIER, J. and S. ULAM, Über die Permutationsgruppe der natürlichen Zahlenfolge, *Studia Math.* **4**, 134-141 (1933).
11. ——— and ———, Über die Automorphismen der Permutationsgruppe der natürlichen Zahlenfolge, *Fund. Math.* **28**, 258-260 (1937).